CSIBER INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENT(CIJE)

Vol. 2, Issue No. 1, March, 2025

CSIBER INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENT(CIJE)

A Bi-Annual Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried)
Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

EDITORIAL BOARD

CSIBER International Journal of Environment (CIJE)

CONTENTS

The Unaccounted Cost: Assessing the true Economic Impact of Environmental Damage

Dr. Dhanashree Kulkarni

Abstract
Global sustainability is seriously threatened by environmental deterioration, yet traditional financial and economic models do not fully account for its actual economic impact. Natural resource depletion, pollution, and biodiversity loss are not taken into account by traditional accounting systems and GDP estimates, which results in skewed economic metrics that ignore long-term environmental harm. Using natural capital accounting, carbon pricing, and sustainability reporting, this paper seeks to: (1) assess the economic impact of unrecorded environmental harm; (2) investigate the shortcomings of existing valuation models in capturing environmental costs; and (3) suggest ways to incorporate these costs into economic decision-making. The study uses a mixed-methods research strategy to accomplish these goals. To identify the gaps in environmental cost accounting, a qualitative analysis of the body of existing research and policy frameworks is carried out. To measure the hidden economic cost of environmental deterioration, case studies of sectors including industry, agriculture, and energy are also examined. In order to provide a more thorough accounting framework, the study also investigates other value methods, such as ecosystem service pricing and the Inclusive Wealth Index. This study emphasises the pressing need for a paradigm shift towards sustainable economic planning by including environmental costs into company plans and economic policy. By encouraging companies and politicians to take a more responsible and data-driven approach to environmental accountability, the results hope to add to the continuing conversation on sustainability.

Keywords: Sustainability, Hidden Economic Cost, Biodiversity

Introduction

Background and Rationale

The planet is facing serious environmental degradation such as deforestation, pollution, loss of biodiversity, and global warming. Although these issues have strong economic implications, they are generally overlooked in conventional financial analysis. Economic prosperity is generally quantified with the use of Gross Domestic Product (GDP), which takes into account only market activity without the depletion of natural resources. This creates a flawed image of economic prosperity as environmental degradation constitutes an "externality" and not a necessary component of economic study.

Why does this matter?

Inadequate environmental cost accounting results in unsustainable development. For instance, industries will keep polluting if the true economic cost of their environmental footprint is not accounted for in their balance sheets. Policymakers will emphasize short-term economic growth at the expense of long-term environmental sustainability because there is no stable system to include environmental costs in decision-making.
This research contends that the economic value of environmental destruction must be clearly quantified and incorporated into economic and financial models. Using tools like Natural Capital Accounting, Carbon Pricing, and the Inclusive Wealth Index, a truer picture of economic well-being can be developed.

Research Goals

The study seeks to correct the lapses of conventional economic models in depicting environmental destruction. The central goals include:

Estimate the Economic Value of Unreported Environmental Harm:
This entails estimating the unobserved costs of pollution, deforestation, global warming, and loss of biodiversity. The study will examine the manner in which environmental degradation translates into economic losses for sectors like agriculture, energy, and medicine.

Discuss the Shortcomings of Current Valuation Models:
Traditional economic models like GDP and cost-benefit analysis are not capable of capturing the full extent of environmental damage.

This section discusses why such models are flawed and how they lead to unsustainable economic choices.
Suggest Ways of Incorporating Environmental Costs into Economic Decision-Making:
The paper proposes models such as Natural Capital Accounting, Carbon Pricing, and the Inclusive Wealth Index to make sure environmental costs are incorporated into business and policy-making decisions.

Suggestions will be given to governments, companies, and financial institutions regarding how to incorporate sustainability into financial decision-making.

Methodology

The research uses a mixed-methods approach that incorporates qualitative and quantitative research methods. The research methodology entails:

Qualitative Examination of Existing Policy and Research Frameworks:

Examination of available literature on environmental cost accounting, economic valuation models, and sustainability practices.

Environmental policies from various nations are analyzed to determine the gaps in prevailing economic models.

Case Study Examination in Main Sectors:

Analyzing sectors like manufacturing, agriculture, and energy to determine the actual-world influence of environmental damage.

Analyzing the economic loss due to air and water contamination, global warming, and the depletion of natural resources.

Alternative Valuation Approaches Exploration:

Exploring Natural Capital Accounting, Carbon Pricing, and the Inclusive Wealth Index to create a holistic framework to incorporate environmental expense into economic strategy.

Determining best practices by organizations and governments that have implemented environmental responsibility successfully.

The Economic Impacts of Environmental Harm

Environmental harm has extensive economic implications that extend beyond tangible and immediate consequences. Conventional economic frameworks are unable to quantify the real costs of environmental degradation, resulting in policy loopholes and unsustainable choices. This section discusses three important dimensions of environmental harm: natural resource depletion, pollution, and loss of biodiversity, highlighting their economic impacts.

Natural Resource Depletion Costs

Natural resource depletion is the excessive consumption and exploitation of vital resources like forests, water, soil, and minerals. Natural depletion is caused by human activities like deforestation, over-extraction of groundwater, and intensive farming methods, which drain the resources faster than the natural rate of replenishment.

Economic Impacts:

Reduced Agricultural Productivity:

Soil nutrient overuse and deforestation cause soil nutrients to be degraded, decreasing agricultural output.

When forests are destroyed for agriculture or industry, topsoil and soil fertility loss heighten the dependence on chemical fertilizer, which increases the cost of production.

Agriculture-dependent nations like India and Brazil experience economic uncertainty because of reduced crop yields and increased food prices.

Heightened Disaster Vulnerability:

Deforestation and overuse of groundwater lead to droughts, floods, and landslides that cause billions of dollars of damage each year.

Coastal communities lose natural protection from mangroves and wetlands, leading to higher costs in disaster relief and recovery efforts.

Rising Commodity Prices:

Scarcity of natural resources increases costs for industries that rely on them.

Water-intensive industries, such as beverage manufacturing and textiles, face higher operational expenses due to water shortages.

The mining sector faces deteriorating ore quality that takes greater amounts of energy and investment to bring valuable minerals to the surface, increasing consumer electronics, car, and infrastructure development prices.

Example:

The Amazon rainforest is being cut down at an unprecedented rate in Brazil for cattle and soybean cultivation. The clearing of forests contributes to soil degradation, biodiversity loss, and unpredictable weather conditions, impacting agricultural exports and the regional economy directly.

Pollution and Its Economic Impact

Pollution takes place when harmful chemicals enter the environment and deteriorate the quality of air, water, and soil. Key causes of pollution are industrial discharges, motor vehicle emissions, chemical waste dumping, and plastic overuse.

Economic Consequences:

Increased Healthcare Costs:

Air pollution causes respiratory disorders, heart diseases, and lung cancer, which lead to rising healthcare costs.

Water pollution results in outbreaks of cholera and dysentery, which overwhelm public healthcare.

In highly polluted nations like China and India, billions of dollars are incurred every year on healthcare by pollution-related ailments.

Decreased Labor Productivity:

Pollution creates higher rates of absenteeism and decreased productivity among the labor force.

Pollution in the air induces drowsiness, headaches, and respiratory issues, decreasing the efficiency of employees.

Severe heat, which is augmented by pollution, restricts working hours outside for industries like construction and farming, lowering total economic output.

Costs of Environmental Restoration

Governments and businesses spend billions on restoring contaminated sites, reviving bodies of water, and replanting forests.

Cleaning up contaminated groundwater or reversing the effects of air pollution takes sophisticated technology and huge long-term investments.

The price tag for pollution control devices like air filters and water treatment facilities puts additional cost burdens on industries and regional economies.

Example

In Delhi, India, extreme air pollution causes the economy to lose almost $36 billion each year in healthcare expenditures, lost productivity, and lower life expectancy. Government measures like odd-even traffic regulations and green energy projects entail huge monetary expenditure but are vital to reduce economic losses.

Economic Disruptions Due to Biodiversity Loss

Biodiversity loss is a condition where ecosystems lose species and genetic diversity because of habitat destruction, climate change, pollution, and wildlife overexploitation. Biodiversity ensures ecosystem stability, food security, and climate regulation.

Economic Impacts:

Impacts on Food Security:

Pollinator losses such as bees and butterflies diminish crop production, influencing world food output.

Collapse of fisheries caused by overfishing and habitat loss affects millions relying on seafood as a source of protein and livelihood.

Decline in genetic diversity of crops leaves them more susceptible to disease and climate change, with greater dependence on costly genetically modified seeds.

Disturbances in Natural Resource-Based Industries:

The pharmaceutical sector, which depends on plant species for the discovery of new drugs, is affected when biodiversity reduces.

Economies based on ecotourism, like Costa Rica and Kenya, lose revenues as wildlife populations dwindle.

The forestry sector has chronic shortages in the supply of timber and non-timber forest products as a result of deforestation.

Loss of Climate Regulation Services:

Wetlands and forests provide carbon sinks that lower emissions of greenhouse gases. Their degradation contributes to rapid climate change, increased frequency of natural disasters, and increased costs of adaptation.

Coral reefs that host marine life and coastal defense are being destroyed by ocean acidification, and it results in financial losses for fisheries and tourism businesses.

Example:

Australia's Great Barrier Reef has been suffering from severe coral bleaching caused by increased ocean temperatures. The reef earns approximately $6.4 billion per year from tourism and fisheries but its decline poses a threat to thousands of jobs and economic stability in coastal areas.

Limitations of Current Valuation Models

Conventional economic models of valuation do not capture the real cost of environmental damage, producing erroneous measures of development and growth. Indicators such as Gross Domestic Product (GDP), cost-benefit analysis (CBA), and company sustainability reporting typically exclude the use of natural resources, the health costs of pollution, and the loss of biodiversity. This part discusses the inadequacies of these models and emphasizes the critical need for an enhanced valuation model for environmental effect.

Shortcomings of GDP in Measuring Sustainability

Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is the most common measure of economic performance. It is the sum of the value of all goods and services made in a country during a given period. Policymakers, investors, and governments utilize GDP growth as a sign of national well-being.

Why is GDP an Inadequate Measure of Sustainability?

It overlooks Natural Resource Depletion

GDP rises when sectors utilize natural resources (e.g., mining, forest cutting), but it doesn't capture long-term economic losses due to depleting resources.

Example: Logging in the Amazon adds to GDP but ignores the loss of species diversity, lowered carbon sequestration, or long-run climate effect.

Pollution-producing activities (e.g., fossil fuel mining, manufacturing) contribute to GDP without subtracting the economic costs of environmental degradation.

Example: Cleanup costs of oil spills are included in the calculation of GDP as economic activity while ignoring damage to ecosystems.

Does Not Distinguish Between Unhealthy and Healthy Growth:

GDP rises even when economic processes damage long-run economic sustainability, like groundwater pumping or fishing that is excessive.

Nations that experience fast industrialization might reflect high growth of GDP but encounter extreme environmental destruction, with concomitant long-run economic jeopardy.

Does Not Capture Well-being or Standard of Living:

GDP growth neither accounts for pollution in the air, green land loss, nor deteriorating public health caused by environmental degradation.

Highly polluted nations can have positive GDP growth even as life expectancy falls and healthcare expenses rise.

Alternative Methods:

Green GDP: Subtracts environmental costs, including pollution-related health costs and resource depletion, from GDP.

Genuine Progress Indicator (GPI): Adds social and environmental considerations to determine if economic growth enhances overall well-being.

Example:

China's development accelerated into double-digit growth of GDP for decades, but intense air pollution in urban areas such as Beijing resulted in economic losses through healthcare, lost productivity days, and clean-up costs. Conventional GDP statistics did not account for these costs, so the national economic well-being was left with an incomplete picture.

Defects in Cost-Benefit Analysis

Cost-benefit analysis is an economic appraisal technique employed to determine the worthiness of projects by evaluating the anticipated costs and benefits. CBA is employed by businesses and governments to guide investment and policy choices.

Underestimates Long-Term Environmental Costs:

CBA tends to use discounting, which diminishes the significance of future costs and benefits. This implies long-term environmental damages (e.g., climate change, loss of biodiversity) are underestimated in decision-making.

Example: A new highway development can stimulate economic activity in the short run, but if it results in deforestation, higher emissions, and loss of habitats, the long-run costs are much greater than the short-run gains.

Omits Non-Market Environmental Values:

Most environmental values, including clean air, biodiversity, and ecosystem services, lack a direct market price and are frequently omitted from CBA analysis.

Example: Natural flood protection and water filtration are offered by wetlands, but such services are hardly included in cost-benefit analysis.

Promotes Unsustainable Industrial Activities:

CBA favors projects with short-run profitability at the expense of neglecting irreversible environmental impacts.

Example: Groundwater extraction for business purposes can be profitable in terms of short-run economic returns, but it can cause serious water shortages, land subsidence, and agricultural loss in the long term.

Ignores Social and Health Impacts:

Most environmental costs, including higher respiratory illness due to pollution or community displacement due to forest cutting, are not included in CBA models.

Example: A coal power plant might be profitable in terms of energy generation, but the health expenses of air pollution (e.g., asthma, lung disease) render it a net loss when long-term health effects are taken into account.

Alternative Approaches:

Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA): Considers social, environmental, and ethical considerations in decision-making instead of monetary valuation alone.

Ecosystem Services Valuation: Places value on natural services, including pollination, clean water, and carbon sequestration, to ensure they are included in project appraisals.

Example:

A highly debated hydroelectric dam project in Brazil's Amazon was supported by cost-benefit analysis, emphasizing electricity generation and economic development while disregarding long-term environmental damage, indigenous displacement, and loss of biological diversity. These unaccounted costs have contributed to continued disputes and financial losses.

Corporate Sustainability Reporting Gaps

Corporate sustainability reports (CSRs) are company disclosures regarding their environmental, social, and governance (ESG) activities. They are meant to demonstrate how companies are helping in sustainability while ensuring profitability.

Why Are These Reports Inadequate?

Superficial and Selective Disclosures:

Most companies report only good environmental actions while leaving out important negative impacts.

Example: A company that produces oil can release reports on investments in renewable energy while suppressing its contribution to deforestation and carbon emissions.

Lack of Standardized Metrics:

There is no standard system to measure environmental costs correctly, resulting in variable reporting.

Firms have the option to use different measures or methods, and hence, it is challenging to compare their true environmental performance.

Failure to Account for Full Environmental Costs:

Most reports fail to estimate the monetary effect of pollution, waste generation, or resource consumption.

Example: A fashion company can report on sustainability practices but leave out the environmental expenses of too much water used to grow cotton or microplastics from synthetic fibers.

Greenwashing and Misleading Claims:

There are some businesses that greenwash, as they overstate their environmental activities for the purpose of keeping a positive public perception.

Example: Some plastic manufacturers boast of promoting recycling but still continue to produce non-biodegradable packaging at a non-sustainable level.

Alternative Approaches:

True Cost Accounting: Adds environmental externalities, including emissions, deforestation, and water consumption, to company financial reports.

Mandatory ESG Regulations: Governments must introduce more stringent sustainability disclosure requirements to avoid deceptive reporting.

Example:

Major fashion brands often release sustainability reports claiming ethical sourcing and eco-friendly materials, yet investigations reveal continued reliance on fast fashion, poor labor conditions, and massive textile waste. These reports lack transparency about the true environmental and economic impact of their operations.

Alternative Economic Models for Environmental Accountability

As mainstream economic paradigms omit the true prices of environmental decay, new ones are being conceived to incorporate sustainability into financial investment decisions. Such models seek to monetize natural resources as an economic asset base, internalize environmental expenses, and redefine a nation's capital to encompass ecosystem health.

Natural Capital Accounting

Natural capital accounting (NCA) is a system that integrates ecosystem services, biodiversity, and natural assets into national and business economic frameworks. In contrast to traditional accounting, which monitors only

financial and physical assets, NCA puts an economic value on forests, water resources, air quality, and other natural resources.

It makes sure that environmental resources are valued as precious economic assets instead of free goods.

It assists governments and enterprises in determining the ultimate costs of resource depletion.

It aids in sustainable policy-making through data-driven information about environmental degradation.

Example:

The System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA) of the United Nations assists nations in measuring natural capital.

Costa Rica applied natural capital accounting to determine its economic value from forests, resulting in conservation-friendly policies that support eco-tourism.

Carbon Pricing and Environmental Taxation

Carbon pricing is a market instrument aimed at internalizing the cost of carbon emissions so that industries take into consideration their environment costs. There are two principal approaches:

Carbon Taxes – Governments set a tax on every unit of carbon dioxide released.

Illustrative Example: Sweden's carbon tax ($130 per ton of CO_2) has kept emissions low while economic growth has continued.

Cap-and-Trade Systems – The government establishes an emissions cap and permits companies to sell or purchase emission permits to emit CO_2.

Example: The European Union Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) has contributed to the reduction of emissions in sectors such as power generation and aviation.

Why Are These Policies Effective?

They encourage companies to use cleaner technologies.

They raise government revenue, which can be invested in renewable energy or climate adaptation initiatives.

They assist in redirecting economic activity towards low-carbon sectors.

The Inclusive Wealth Index (IWI)

What is the Inclusive Wealth Index?

In contrast to GDP, which concentrates on short-term economic production alone, the IWI looks at:

Produced capital (infrastructure, machinery)

Human capital (education, skills, health)

Natural capital (forests, water, biodiversity)

Why is IWI a Better Measure of Economic Progress?

It captures long-term economic sustainability.

It captures resource depletion and environmental degradation.

It offers policymakers a complete picture of national wealth.

Example:

A nation such as Indonesia might register GDP growth through the production of palm oil but have IWI falling because of forest clearing and biodiversity erosion.

Case Studies: The True Cost of Damaging the Environment

This part reviews actual situations where the environment is being destroyed, illustrating why standard economic valuation doesn't tell us the actual costs.

Economic Impact of Industrial Pollution:

Costs of rising healthcare bills owing to diseases resulting from pollution (such as respiratory ailments, cancer).

Reduced labor productivity as workers suffer from health problems.

Declining agricultural output due to soil and water contamination.

Example:

India's air pollution crisis: Delhi's poor air quality causes annual GDP losses of $95 billion due to health expenses and lost productivity.

Agricultural Practices and Environmental Degradation

Problems with Intensive Farming:

Overuse of pesticides and fertilizers contaminates water sources.

Soil erosion reduces long-term agricultural productivity.

Deforestation for agriculture contributes to climate change.

Example:

The Dust Bowl (1930s, USA) – Intensive farming without regulation resulted in extensive soil degradation, leading to economic meltdown in agricultural communities.

The Energy Sector and Climate Change Costs

How Fossil Fuels Contribute to Economic Losses:

Climate change adds to natural disaster expenditure (hurricanes, wildfires, flooding).

Temperature increases lower agricultural harvests and raise health risks.

Example:

The 2021 Texas Winter Storm – Collapse of fossil-fuel-powered energy infrastructure resulted in $195 billion damages.

Policy Recommendations

Governments and companies need to incorporate environmental costs into economic choices.

Incorporating Environmental Costs into National Accounting

Natural Capital Accounting (NCA) should be adopted by governments in order to monitor ecosystem losses.

Green GDP estimates should be complemented by the conventional GDP measures.

Example:

The United Kingdom's Office for National Statistics now incorporates natural capital accounts in economic reports.

Improving Environmental Rules

Enforce more stringent pollution control legislation and sanctions for environmental degradation.

Implement corporate environmental disclosers with standardized disclosure.

Example

The EU's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) requires firms to report on their environmental footprint.

Promoting Sustainable Business Practices

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations should be incorporated into business decision-making.

Tax breaks should promote low-carbon technologies and circular economy strategies.

Example:

Clothing retailer Patagonia invests profits in conservation of the environment instead of shareholder dividends.

Public Awareness and Stakeholder Engagement

Support education on sustainability to change consumer behavior.

Engage local communities in environmental policy-making.

Example

Plastic prohibitions in cities such as San Francisco were effective because of rigorous public consciousness campaigns.

Conclusion

The importance of incorporating environmental costs in economic models cannot be overstressed, as failing to account for environmental degradation leads to **misguided policy decisions and unsustainable economic growth**. Conventional GDP-based approaches focus solely on **short-term economic output**, overlooking critical factors such as **resource depletion, pollution, and climate harm**. As a result, these models present a **distorted and incomplete picture** of economic development.

Resource depletion, such as deforestation, water scarcity, and soil degradation, weakens long-term economic stability by reducing agricultural productivity, increasing disaster vulnerability, and driving up the costs of raw materials. Similarly, **pollution**, including air, water, and soil contamination, imposes **hidden economic burdens**, such as rising healthcare expenditures, loss of biodiversity, and decreased labor productivity. Additionally, **climate change-related damage**, such as extreme weather events, coastal erosion, and declining crop yields, further disrupts economies, yet these costs remain unaccounted for in traditional economic indicators.

By failing to factor in these environmental costs, GDP-based models create an **illusion of prosperity** while masking **long-term economic risks**. For example, an economy experiencing rapid industrialization may show **high GDP growth**, but if this growth is achieved at the cost of **deforestation, excessive carbon emissions, and pollution-related diseases**, the overall well-being of society deteriorates. This discrepancy highlights the need for **alternative economic frameworks** that integrate environmental sustainability into national and corporate accounting.

To ensure a **holistic and realistic** measurement of economic progress, policymakers and businesses must adopt **natural capital accounting, carbon pricing mechanisms, and sustainability metrics**. These approaches would enable decision-makers to recognize the true cost of environmental harm and promote policies that balance **economic growth with ecological preservation**, ensuring prosperity for future generations.

Future Research Directions:

Creating global accounting standards for environmental expenses.

Enhancing research on climate risk assessment in economic policy.

Encouraging collaborations between governments, corporations, and civil society to promote sustainable development.

References

Costanza, R., et al. (2014). Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. Global Environmental Change, 26, 152-158.

Supports valuing ecosystem services and unaccounted environmental costs.

Stern, N. (2007). The Economics of Climate Change: The Stern Review. Cambridge University Press.

Highlights economic impacts of environmental degradation and flaws in traditional models.

Barbier, E. B. (2011). Capitalizing on Nature: Ecosystems as Natural Assets. Cambridge University Press.

Discusses natural resource depletion and natural capital accounting.

FAO (2018). The State of the World's Forests 2018. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Provides data on deforestation's economic consequences.

Landrigan, P. J., et al. (2018). The Lancet Commission on pollution and health. The Lancet, 391(10119), 462-512.

Quantifies healthcare and productivity costs of pollution.

OECD (2016). The Economic Consequences of Outdoor Air Pollution. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

Details economic losses from air pollution, e.g., in Delhi.

TEEB (2010). The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity: Mainstreaming the Economics of Nature.

Analyzes biodiversity loss's economic impacts.

Stiglitz, J. E., Sen, A., & Fitoussi, J.-P. (2009). Report by the Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress.

Critiques GDP's inadequacy for sustainability.

Hanley, N., & Barbier, E. B. (2009). Pricing Nature: Cost-Benefit Analysis and Environmental Policy. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Examines flaws in cost-benefit analysis for environmental valuation.

United Nations (2014). System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA): Central Framework. United Nations Statistics Division.

Foundational for natural capital accounting.

Nordhaus, W. D. (2018). The Economics of Climate Change: A Regional Perspective. Annual Review of Economics, 10, 419-440.

Discusses carbon pricing mechanisms.

Arrow, K. J., et al. (2013). Determining Benefits and Costs for Inclusive Wealth. Environment and Development Economics, 18(3), 317-339.

Introduces the Inclusive Wealth Index.

Balasubramanian, R. (2021). Air Pollution and Its Economic Impacts in India. Economic and Political Weekly, 56(10).

Case study on Delhi's air pollution costs.

Pimentel, D., et al. (1995). Environmental and Economic Costs of Soil Erosion and Conservation Benefits. Science, 267(5201), 1117-1123.

Case study on soil degradation's economic impact (e.g., Dust Bowl).